

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,219	02/28/2002	David B. Kramer	7-20	6969	
Ryan, Mason &	7590 04/19/2007 Lewis LLP		EXAMINER		
90 Forest Aven	ue		MURPHY, RHONDA L		
Locust Valley, NY 11560		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/085,219	KRAMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rhonda Murphy	2616	

Berore the rining or an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rhonda Murphy	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS	·	• •				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 224)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(P1OL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 16 and 17.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 18-22.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(Is to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:						
	\mathcal{I}	religing				
	HUY	D. VU				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Nguyen teaches maintaining a traffic shaping requirement in the presence of collisions between requests from the transmission elements for each of one or more of the time slots, through the use of a linking of colliding transmission elements (col. 4, lines 39-60). Delp teaches moving at least once entry from a first location within the at least one time slot table to a second location within the at least one time slot table (col. 6, lines 48-62). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include Delp's method of moving an entry from one location to another location, in the time slot table, in order to arbitrate competing requests and provide a fair and oderly system (col. 7, lines 53-62). Therefore, the rejection has been maintained.